

Proceedings held before the Planning Board
Brighton at 2300 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, New York on September
21, 2016 commencing at approximately 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Laura Civiletti, Acting Chairman
David Fader
John Osowski
Jason Babcock Stiner
James Wentworth
Daniel Cordova

NOT PRESENT: Willliam Price

Ramsey Boehner: Town Planner
David Dollinger, Dpty Town Attorney

FIRE ALARM PROCEDURES WERE GIVEN

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Good evening Ladies
and Gentlemen, I would like to call to order the September 21, 2016,
meeting of the Town of Brighton's Planning Board to order. We will
approve the minutes of the July 20, 2016 meeting and the August 17, 2016
meeting with any corrections.

MS. CIVILETTI: I need to excuse myself from the
August 17, 2016 meeting as I was not here.

MR. FADER: I move to approve the July 20, 2016
and the August 17, 2016 minutes with any corrections.

MR. WENTWORTH: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Mr. Secretary were
the public hearings properly advertised ?

MR. BOEHNER: Yes, they were properly
advertised as required in the Brighton Pittsford Post of September 15,
2016.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Those hearings will now be held but before we get started Application 7P-NB1-16 is adjourned to the October 19, 2016 meeting.

7P-02-16 Application of 2861 BHTL Rd, LLC, owner and Spot on Development, agent, for Final Site Plan Approval, Final Subdivision Approval and Final Conditional Use Permit Approval to reconfigure two lots and redevelop the site with a 2,400+/- sf Starbucks Coffee restaurant with drive thru and outdoor dining on properties located at 2861 West Henrietta Road and 1634 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road. All as described on application and plans on file. ADJOURNED FROM THE AUGUST 17, 2016 MEETING AT THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST.

5P-NB1-16 Application of Bruce Coleman, owner, of property located at 2861 West Henrietta Road and 400 Western Drive, LLC, owner of property located at 1634 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road, and Angelo Ingrasia / Spot on Development, LLC, contract vendee, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval, Preliminary Subdivision Approval and Preliminary Conditional Use Permit Approval to reconfigure two lots and redevelop the site with a 2,400 +/- sf Starbucks Coffee restaurant with drive thru and outdoor dining. All as described on application and plans on file. TABLED AT THE MAY 18, 2016 MEETING – PUBLIC HEARING REMAINS OPEN

MR. GOLDMAN: Good evening members of the Board, and Acting Chairperson my name is Jerry Goldman and I am the attorney and agent for Angelo Inglassia and his development company Spot development. They are pursuing the development of the north west corner of West Henrietta Road and Brighton Henrietta Townline Road for a Starbucks location with me is Mike Montello from Costich Engineering. As the Board may recall we have been here on a number of occasions one for concept review as well as initial meetings for Preliminary. We have pretty much resolved all of the issues with regard to the site with the exception of getting reviews from Monroe County Department of Transportation relative to the site itself. To orient us on the site, this site is two existing parcels, one parcel is outlined here and another one is outlined here. Mr. Inglassia required both of those parcels and in order to develop a plan that has no variances that relates to all requirements and sign variances that were obtained. He is moving the

property lines to the westerly end of the site and he is looking for approval tonight for Starbucks which is located in the same building as it had been Jiffy Lube for 15 or 20 years or longer. Lot 2 is shaded as retail and occupies 2500 square feet and also doesn't require any variances but that is not before you tonight but for your information that is the extent for development on this site.

This site is really part of the larger Rund's property. For those of you who remember the Rund's restaurant that was up here and the Rund's family acquired a lot of parcels in here and the family developed a full plan for the development of the site and also for the division of these parcels for access easement which cover a lot of lots to allow for access freely throughout the site. I was reminded by Ramsey that County DOT was involved in the initial discussions relative to the location of these easements and curb cuts on the site. Primary access to the site is through through a curb cut on Henrietta Town Line Road and easements which run north and south benefiting the properties of North Goodman Plaza and Kof C which has a handicap right here with a driveway that runs down to West Henrietta Road but what we expect and anticipate what people will do is go out this way towards West Henrietta Road going north and they could very well go through easements at the back of the site which provides some relief and also provides some gaps.

The last piece of information before us is the DOT letter and if you read it, it doesn't look very pretty but at the same time it acknowledges the realities that we have to deal with on site relative to the cue banks which was resolved on the site and we feel comfortable in the ability of the site to function if there is any cuing issue there is more than adequate stacking in this location as it is laid out perfectly to deal with that contingency and that issue is not a constant issue during the peak hours and certainly not during the non peak hours. The primary issue is during the morning peak hours and we are primarily concerned with traffic during the morning peak hours. There are multiple places with drive ways along here that will allow some relief and there are left turns this way and this way that are signalized.

So from what we have on here we do believe we have a functioning site and DOT has a safe guard that says that they want us to enter into an agreement with County to mitigate any safety factors should they occur as a result. The County has done this in about a dozen cases and they have yet to require any improvements on any of those. If it is something they consider to be beyond that they would consider it. So

this something that clearly experience will be a teacher. I don't know if there is anything you want us to add but at this point this is pretty much everything I wanted to say.

MR. MONTELLO: Mike Montello with Costich engineering and as Jerry mentioned we have met with County DOT and from a cuing standpoint and operation standpoint from the existing access point there is approximately 30 minutes during the a.m. peak morning hours which affects approximately 25 vehicles that there would be a cuing issue with and in the evening peak hour there is approximately 33 percent of the hour or 19 minutes that affected 14 vehicles. When we sat down with County DOT they had been using the wrong scale and they were anticipating cuing well beyond the site. So when we reviewed the cuing lines in the a.m. peak the center lane is the one that cues directly from the driveway. The left hand turn pocket there is plenty of cuing for the right hand turn cuing so between the traffic signal and that there is only 24 vehicles affected in roughly a half hour of traffic and they didn't feel that was an issue especially when you look at how DOT looks at it. They don't necessarily look at a half hour of the day there being a delay. It has to be a combination of factors combined with the intersection agreement which basically says they have easements to turn around and facilitate making an intersection. We further have looked at any shift in the access and we now have two alternate routes.

MR. GOLDMAN: I would like to add one more point, we focused on County DOT and their comments but State DOT did have an opportunity to review this and they did get to circulate as part of their County planning and they opted not to provide any comments relative to this. The County talked a little bit about it but the State did not view this as being relevant to warrant an impact on this.

I do have some general comments relative to the plans and we did have some comments made at the last meeting. We did modify the internal access drive to widen that closer to the building to allow it to be 17 feet and open up to 24 feet for increased circulation to provide emergency access. We also brought the sidewalk closer to the drive through and stripped it with a pedestrian cross walk sign and we also have a couple of stray pieces of sidewalk along sthe building and internal review provided a secondary access from the dining area and with

all the garbage coming out of the east side the employees have to go to the drive through to get to the dumpster enclosure and we have added sidewalk and we pulled the landscaping directly up to the other side of the sidewalk to maintain the landscaping.

Those are primarily the larger changes. We did change the species of a couple of the trees based on a recommendation from the Conservation Board meeting, The building is sprinklered. We did appear before ARB and the Zoning Board of Appeals and we were asked to clarify the parking statistics and the number of seats on the inside and the outside. We have 56 seats on the inside and 8 on the patio.

MR. BOEHNER: You have 64 seats?

MR. GOLDMAN: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Your HVAC is all going to be on the roof?

MR. GOLDMAN: Yes and it is all screened and all on the roof.

MR. BOEHNER: Do you guys have a problem or see any issue with the modification of the cross access easement or granting a new cross access easement between lots one and two?

MR. GOLDMAN: No.

MR. BOEHNER: I think it will give greater flexibility in the future as it is developed. And Mike you found that emergency vehicles can get through that site okay?

MR. MONTELLO: Yes.

MR. BOEHNER: Have you heard back from the fire marshal?

MR. MONTELLO: No.

MR. BOEHNER: You might want to follow up on that and make sure it is okay..

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any changes to the lighting plan?

MR. GOLDMAN: No

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: You did say there was some modification to the landscaping plan because there were some species that were invasive?

MR. GOLDMAN: We took the pear tree off because we were told they were invasive.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: If you could take a look at that?

MR. GOLDMAN: Sure.

MR. BOEHNER: Any discussion about the fence from anyone?

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: We did have a letter from the neighbor which is the first house on the south side of Southern Drive who was concerned about the impact of foot traffic in her yard on the property line. We saw in a future phase that that might be a problem but I don't feel that it is needed as part of this to mitigate this application.

MR. GOLDMAN: I would agree.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Does anyone feel this is something to discuss?

MR BOEHNER: It is good idea to ask .

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: This would be a question that might be more appropriate for the secondary phase.

MR. GOLDMAN: When we come in for site plan approval my expectation is that you wouldn't see a whole lot of foot traffic transverseing in this direction. You have one development back here and I guess we will have to wait a see.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay we will ask you on the second phase. This is a public hearing is there anyone in the audience who cares to address this? There being none we will move on.

7P-NB1-16 Application of Alice Kanack, owner, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval to construct a 4, 187 +/- sf building addition and to add 47 parking spaces on property located at 2977 South Clinton Road All as described on application and plans on file. TABLED AT THE JULY 20, 2016 MEETING – PUBLIC HEARING REMAINS OPEN
ADJOURNED TO THE OCTOBER 19,2016 MEETING AT APPLICANT'S REQUEST.

9P-01-16 Application of Thomas Galvin Jr. owner for Site Plan modification to remove an existing 36 inch pine tree and plant two replacement trees on property located at 2240 Monroe Avenue. All as described on application and plans on file.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: This would be a question that might be more appropriate for the secondary phase.

MR. GOLDMAN: When we come in for site plan approval my expectation is that you wouldn't see a whole lot of foot traffic transverseing in this direction. You have one development back here and I guess we will have to wait a see.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay we will ask you on the second phase. This is a public hearing is there anyone in the audience who cares to address this? There being none we will move on.

7P-NB1-16 Application of Alice Kanack, owner, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval to construct a 4, 187 +/- sf building addition and to add 47 parking spaces on property located at 2977 South Clinton Road All as described on application and plans on file. TABLED AT THE JULY 20, 2016 MEETING – PUBLIC HEARING REMAINS OPEN ADJOURNED TO THE OCTOBER 19,2016 MEETING AT APPLICANT'S REQUEST.

9P-01-16 Application of Thomas Galvin Jr. owner for Site Plan modification to remove an existing 36 inch pine tree and plant two replacement trees on property located at 2240 Monroe Avenue. All as described on application and plans on file.

MR. MCMAHON: Good evening, Greg McMahon from McMahon and LaRue Associates representing the owner of Galvin Real estate. I am not going to take up too much time. You granted site plan approval for a project at this site a couple of months ago and subsequent to that our client the applicant decided he wanted to remove a tree from his property. Specifically a pine tree located right here at the corner of Sunset Orchard and Monroe Avenue. I have given you a picture depicting the tree. The reason why he is requesting the tree to be removed is he is having roof problems due to the tree and insects from the tree and he is having building problems. We have shown that tree on this application for site plan modification to be removed and replaced with two new trees similar to what was part of our site plan approval along the

whole side of that building. And that is the extent of this application it is a modification to remove the pine tree and replace it with two new trees.

MR. WENTWORTH: Can you just cut the lower branches?

MR. MCMAHON: I can only give you my opinion as an engineer I have no background as an arborist or as a landscape architect but on a deciduous tree it would look awful funny. I know power companies do it all the time but if a homeowner cut off a side of the spruce tree it is going to look funny. And I can't comment on how it would impact the tree.

MR. WENTWORTH: Do you have details about the insects and roof?

MR. MCMAHON: My client just indicated to me the reason he was asking for the tree to be removed is because the branches are causing a problem with the roof and insects are falling off the branches which overhang the building. We basically lined that whole side with deciduous trees.

MR. BOEHNER: Did you consider the use of larger street trees?

MR. MCMAHON: No we didn't.

MR. BOEHNER: Is there a reason that he would be opposed to street trees.

MR. MCMAHON: I don't think so. Are you talking about fewer trees that are larger, I am just trying to understand

MR. BOEHNER: Well the Conservation Board had concerns and would like to see larger street trees or flowering trees and have a blend of trees.

MR. MCMAHON: His request was he would like to put up flowering type trees like Cherry and Crab apple.

I think if we did some replacements you are talking about Maples or native trees. We certainly can substitute. There is a standpoint of cost but there isn't a huge difference for a similar maple tree.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: There are Ornamental trees that wouldn't cost too much. There are Japanese species.

MR. MCMAHON: I don't think there would be any objection on his part.

MR. BOEHNER: I don't think the Conservation Board had a problem with the flowering trees. They just wanted some street trees and that is for consideration of the Board.

MR. WENTWORTH: Again that is a very nice large tree and it would seem a shame to lose it when you could just trim it.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Instead of trimming it back you could cut back the limbs at the trunk and then you end up as you can see this evergreens as the mature end up losing branches at the bottom. And I can see where there could be problems with the branches and insects.

MR. BOEHNER: That is what he told me and he talked about the trees shedding and causing damage.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: From my own experience in my yard we have had an issue.

MR. WENTWORTH: Have there been issues that have come up in the past and if so what have we required was there any type of proof that it is indeed damaging the roof.

MR. BOEHNER: No, not that I know of.

MR. WENTWORTH: Particularly I am concerned about it being a corner and not causing any harm to the road. I just don't want to see it cut down when it is not necessary.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay thank you. This is a public hearing. Is there anyone that would like to address this application. Okay let's move on. The public hearings are closed.

7P-02-16 Application of 2861 BHTL Rd, LLC, owner and Spot on Development, agent, for Final Site Plan Approval, Final Subdivision Approval and Final Conditional Use Permit Approval to reconfigure two lots and redevelop the site with a 2,400+/- sf Starbucks Coffee restaurant with drive thru and outdoor dining on properties located at 2861 West Henrietta Road and 1634 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road. All as described on application and plans on file. ADJOURNED FROM THE AUGUST 17, 2016 MEETING AT THE APPLICANT'S REQUEST.

5P-NB1-16 Application of Bruce Coleman, owner, of property located at 2861 West Henrietta Road and 400 Western Drive, LLC, owner of property located at 1634 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road, and Angelo Ingrasia / Spot on Development, LLC , contract vendee, for Preliminary Site Plan Approval , Preliminary Subdivision Approval and Preliminary Conditional Use Permit Approval to reconfigure two lots and redevelop the site with a 2,400 +/- sf Starbucks Coffee restaurant with drive thru and outdoor dining . All as described on application and plans on file. TABLED AT THE MAY 18, 2016 MEETING – PUBLIC HEARING REMAINS OPEN

MR. FADER: I move to close the public hearings.

MR. BABCOCK STINER: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED

MR. FADER: I move the Planning board approves the applications 5P-NB1-16 and 7P-02-16 based on the testimony given, plans submitted and with the following conditions and Determination of Significance.

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

I move that the Planning Board of the Town of Brighton declares itself to be lead agency under the State of New York Environmental Quality Review Act. After considering the action contemplated, the Planning Board finds it to be an Unlisted Action. Upon review of the Environmental Assessment form, the application and materials submitted, and the criteria for determining significance pursuant to the SEQRA the Planning Board finds that the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the environment. The Planning Board adopts the negative declaration prepared by Town Staff.

CONDITIONS:

1. An Operational Permit shall be obtained from the Town of Brighton's Fire Marshal (Chris Roth 784-5220)
2. The entire building shall comply with the most current Building and Fire Codes of New York State.
3. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, all plans for utility and storm water control systems must be reviewed and have been given approval by appropriate authorities. Prior to any occupancy, work proposed on the approval plans shall have been completed to a degree satisfactory to the appropriate authorities.
4. Meet all requirements of the Town of Brighton's Department of Public Works.
5. All Town codes shall be met that relate directly or indirectly to the applicant's request.
6. The project and its construction entrance shall meet the New York State standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment control.
7. The contractor shall designate a member of his or her firm to be responsible to monitor erosion control, erosion control structures, tree protection and preservation throughout construction.
8. All trees to be saved shall be protected with orange construction fencing placed at the drip line or a distance greater than the drip line. Trees shall be pruned, watered and fertilized prior to , during and after

construction. Materials and equipment storage shall not be allowed in fenced areas.

- 9 Maintenance of landscape plantings shall be guaranteed for three years.
- 10 The dumpster shall be enclosed with building materials that are compatible with the existing building.
- 11 The parking lot shall be striped as per the requirements of the Brighton Comprehensive Development Regulations.
- 12 Meet all subdivision filing requirements of the Town of Brighton's Department of Public Works.
- 13 All outstanding Site Plan comments and concerns of the Town Engineer and Fire Marshal shall be addressed.
- 14 All outstanding Site Plan comments and concerns of the Town Engineer regarding soil erosion, storm water control, water system and sanitary sewer design shall be addressed.
- 15 All County Development Review comments shall be addressed prior to final approval.
- 16 All comments of the County Surveyor, Gregory Bly, shall be addressed prior to final approval.
- 17 All required permits and approvals shall be obtained from Monroe County DOT and NYS DOT.
- 18 All other reviewing agencies must issue their approval prior to the Department of Public Works issuing its final approval.
- 19 All easements must be shown on the subdivision map with ownership, purpose, and liber /page of filing with the Monroe County Clerk's Office. A copy of the filed easement shall be submitted to the Building and Planning Department for its records.
- 20 A letter of credit shall be provided to cover certain aspects of the project, including, but not limited to landscaping, stormwater

mitigation, infrastructure and erosion control. The applicant's engineer shall prepare an itemized estimate of the scope of the project as a basis for the letter of credit.

- 21 The building shall be sprinklered as required by Town and or NYS Code.
- 22 Based on the proposed parking of 32 spaces, the total number of seats Provided, including indoors and outdoors shall not exceed 64.
23. Erosion control measures shall be in place prior to site disturbance.
 - 24 Light spill shall be contained on the premises. Outdoor light sources shall be aimed or shielded so that they are not visible when viewed from off premises, and so that light spill is cast only downward onto the premises. Exempt from this requirement are low wattage lights that are located near the principal entrance to the building and low wattage lights, not higher than 42 inches above grade, that define a walkway or other access to a building.
- 25 The location of the HVAC shall be shown on the site plan.
- 26 All comments and concerns of Evert Garcia contained in the attached memo shall be addressed.
- 27 Prior to the issuance of any permits the applicant shall obtain and submit a 239-F Permit from Monroe County Dot.
- 28 A letter or memo in response to all Planning Board and Town Engineer comments and conditions shall be submitted.
- 29 All new accessible parking space signage to be installed or replaced shall have the logo depicting a dynamic character leaning forward with a sense of movement as required by Secretary of State pursuant to section one hundred one of the Executive Law.
- 30 The following comments of the Conservation Board shall be addressed.

- The Board recognizes the addition of street trees as requested, consideration should be given to the use of native species.
 - Maiden Grass miscanthus is on the NYS list of Invasive Species to Avoid and should be replaced with native material.
31. All requirements of Sections 203-84B.3 (restaurant regulations), 203-84.B.4 (outdoor dining regulations), 207-14-1 (waste container and grease/oil container standards), 207-14.2(Supplemental restaurant regulations), 207-14.3(drive-through standards) as well as any other pertinent sections of the code, shall be met.
 32. Hours of operation shall be limited to 6 a.m. to midnight, unless further approval for extended hours has been granted by the Planning Board.
 33. A number of the Site Plan General Notes appear to respond to Town of Greece requirements. The notes should be revised as necessary to refer to Brighton requirements.
 34. A cross access easement shall be provided between new Lots 1 and 2 to provide flexibility with future access plans.

MR. WENTWORTH: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

9P-01-16 Application of Thomas Galvin Jr. owner for Site Plan modification to remove an existing 36 inch pine tree and plant two replacement trees on property located at 2240 Monroe Avenue. All as described on application and plans on file.

MR. FADER: I move to close the public hearings.

MR. BABCOCK STINER: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED

MR. FADER: I move the Planning board approves the application 9P-01-16 based on the testimony given, plans submitted and with the following conditions and Determination of Significance.

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

I move that the Planning Board of the Town of Brighton declares itself to be lead agency under the State of New York Environmental Quality Review Act. After considering the action contemplated, the Planning Board finds it to be an Unlisted Action. Upon review of the Environmental Assessment form, the application and materials submitted, and the criteria for determining significance pursuant to the SEQRA the Planning Board finds that the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the environment. The Planning Board adopts the negative declaration prepared by Town Staff.

CONDITIONS:

- 1 The following comments of the Conservation Board shall be addressed
 - as stated during previous reviews for this property, the Board encourages the use of larger street tree along the Sunset Drive frontage, a design which should mimic and blend in with the existing neighborhood and provide value to the local ecology. The use of ornamental/flowering trees should be used to supplement the desired street tree design helping to screen the building.
 - Native plan material should be used
 - Three native street trees should replace three ornamental flowering trees
2. All trees to be saved shall be protected with orange construction fencing placed at the drip line or a distance greater than the drip line. Trees shall be pruned, watered and fertilized prior to , during and after construction. Materials and equipment storage shall not be allowed in fenced areas.

- 3 All outstanding Site Plan comments and concerns of the Town Engineer as contained in the attached memo shall be addressed.
- 4 All Town codes shall be met that relate directly or indirectly to the applicant's request.
- 5 Meet all requirements of the Town of Brighton's Department of Public Works.
- 6 Maintenance of landscape plantings shall be guaranteed for three years.
- 7 A letter of credit shall be provided to cover certain aspects of the project, including, but not limited to landscaping, stormwater mitigation, infrastructure and erosion control. The applicant's engineer shall prepare an itemized estimate of the scope of the project as a basis for the letter of credit.
- 8 The contractor shall designate a member of his or her firm to be responsible to monitor erosion control, erosion control structures, tree protection and preservation throughout construction.
- 9 All other reviewing agencies must issue their approval prior to the Department of Public Works issuing its final approval.
- 10 A letter or memo in response to all Planning Board and Town Engineer comments and conditions shall be submitted.
- 11 The tree stumps along Sunset Drive shall be removed.

MR. WENTWORTH: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

* * * * *

SIGNS

1434 USA Payroll/Medical Office Building/ Children's Care Center of Brighton for a Free Standing sign at 2601 Lac De Ville Blvd
*Denied without prejudice

1441 Stache Grooming Lounge for a Building Face sign at 2229 Monroe Avenue
Condition

1. The top of the sign shall not exceed 20' from grade

1442 Trilogy for a Building Face Sign at 1865 Monroe Avenue
Condition

1. The sign shall comply with the standards of the plaza

1443 GRB for a Building Face Sign at 1859 South Winton Rd.
Condition

1. All required Zoning Board of Appeals approvals shall be obtained.

1444 Millennium Machinery for a Building Face Sign (2) at 120 Northern Drive.
Condition

1. All required Variances shall be obtained.

1445 Hyundai for a Building Face Sign (3) at 2525 West Henrietta Road.
Conditions

1. Signage shall be modified so that the Hyundai logo is not more than 25 percent of the sign area or a variance will be required.
2. Variances are required for signage and shall be obtained.
3. The sign color is approved as presented on the cover sheet (silver)

1446 Golden Cash for a building Face sign at 2831 West Henrietta Road.

MS. ACTING CHAIRMAN: I move Sign application 1434 is denied without prejudice. Sign applications 1441, and 1442 are approved with conditions. Sign applications, 1443, 1444, 1445 are tabled for variances and 1446 is approved.

MR. FADER: Second.

UPON ROLL CALL VOTE MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED

* * * * *

CERTIFICATION

I, Judy Almekinder, 7633 Bauer Van Wickle Road,
Lyons, New York 14489, do hereby state that the minutes of the September 21,
2016 Meeting of the Town of Brighton's Planning Board at 2300 Elmwood
Avenue, Brighton, New York, is a true and accurate transcription of those notes
to the best of my ability as recorded and transcribed by me.

-----

Judy Almekinder

State of New York;
County of Wayne

On this 4th day of October in the year 2016, before me personally came Judy
Almekinder to me known, and known to me to be the person described herein and
who executed the foregoing instrument, and she acknowledges to me that she
executed the same.

-----

Notary Public

LISA D. GRIMES
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01GR6117761
Qualified in Ontario County
Commission Expires Nov. 1, 2020